

# Kids, Congress and Colorado: The Future of CHP+

### **IMPLICATIONS OF UNCERTAIN FUNDING**

#### **AUGUST 2017**

The future of Colorado's Child Health Plan *Plus* – or CHP+ – is uncertain.

Federal funding for the program, which provides health coverage for 75,254<sup>1</sup> children and pregnant women in Colorado, ends on September 30, unless Congress renews it.

Colorado spends about \$128.2 million annually on the CHP+ program — about 1.4 percent of the \$9 billion budget of the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF), the state agency that oversees CHP+. Government analysts project that Colorado will have enough money to run the program through February 2018.<sup>2</sup>

The debate about the future of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) has overshadowed this deadline and complicated the politics around it. Colorado is looking at different possibilities to keep these kids and pregnant women covered if federal funding is not reauthorized — including state options to continue covering them — options that could involve additional costs impact operations of other state programs.

This brief, the first in a series of Colorado Health Institute (CHI) analyses on the future of CHP+ in Colorado, looks at the history of the program, enrollment trends and key questions that the state must consider going forward.

### **A Brief History**

Congress passed the State Children's Health Insurance Program — now widely known as CHIP — in 1997 as a bipartisan response after efforts by the Clinton Administration to reform the health care system were rebuffed. CHIP covers children and pregnant women in low-income families

### **CHP+: Numbers and Names**

**Numbers:** There are several ways to county CHP+ enrollment. HCPF's most recent figures show **75,254** children and pregnant women were enrolled in CHP+ as of July 2017. This is a point-in-time number, and it is smaller than other published figures that reflect the number of people enrolled in CHP+ at *any time* during a year. Finally, the graphic on page 2 tracks yearly enrollment — the average number enrolled over the course of a year. This number is smaller than the point-in-time number.

**Names:** The federal program is called the State Children's Health Insurance Program, abbreviated as **CHIP**. In Colorado, federal CHIP funding pays for the state Child Health Plan *Plus*, or **CHP**+.

who earn too much to qualify for Medicaid. CHIP is a partnership between states and the federal government.

The program was set to expire in 2007, but the Bush Administration temporarily reauthorized it for two years. Since then, Congress has revisited – and debated – the program and its funding about every two years. Congress passed the Child Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) in 2009, which reauthorized the law and expanded CHIP to immigrant children who live legally in the country. In 2010, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) extended CHIP funding through 2015.<sup>3</sup> The program's funding was extended again in 2015 through the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA).

MACRA authorized CHIP through 2019, but only extended funding through September 30, 2017. It also increased CHIP funding for states. In Colorado, MACRA increased the federal match from 65 percent to 88 percent.<sup>4</sup>

### **CHIP in Colorado**

CHIP gave states the choice to create a separate program or to expand their Medicaid programs to include newly eligible children and pregnant women. In 1998, Colorado was one of the first states to pursue CHIP, creating its own separate program, called CHP+. Policymakers reasoned that a separate


### **Understanding the Federal Match**


The federal match means that for every \$100 spent on a child or pregnant woman in Colorado, the federal government pays \$88 leaving the state to cover a balance of \$12.

program could build on efforts pursued in Colorado in the mid-1990s to create a children's health insurance program.


Since the program's inception, CHP+ has had a general increase in enrollment (see Figure 1).<sup>5,6</sup> One exception is when the state froze enrollment in 2003 at the height of a national recession.<sup>7</sup>

In 2009, the Colorado legislature passed HB09-1293, which created the Hospital Provider Fee and increased the income eligibility for CHP+ from 205 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) to 250 percent FPL, or about \$61,500 for a family of four in 2017.





#### Map 1. Percentage of Children Enrolled in CHP+, by County, 2016



\* Counties with fewer than 30 enrollees are not recorded.

#### Figure 2. Highest and Lowest Enrollment in CHP+ by County, Percentage of Children 0-18, 2016

Top Five		Bottom Five	
Chaffee	11%	Costilla	1%
Kit Carson	10%	Douglas	2%
Ouray	10%	El Paso	3%
Montrose	9%	Broomfield	3%
Washington	8%	Pueblo	3%

#### Is your county not listed?

Check out CHI's interactive map at www.coloradohealthinstitute.org/research/future-chp for more data.

The latest major change to the program came in 2011 when legislators passed SB11-008, standardizing program eligibility for all children under the age of 19 and making more Colorado kids eligible for Medicaid.<sup>8</sup> When the law was implemented, the state shifted a portion of children who were previously covered by CHP+ into Medicaid while retaining the enhanced federal match rate for these children.

### Who is Enrolled?

CHP+ is intended for children and pregnant women whose household incomes are above the Medicaid threshold, but below 265 percent FPL.<sup>9</sup> As of July 2017, CHP+ covered 75,254 kids and women in Colorado. CHP+ has helped push Colorado's uninsured rate for children to a historic low of 2.5 percent in 2015, an important success story.

Chaffee County leads the pack with 11 percent of children enrolled in CHP+. Close by are Kit Carson and Ouray both with 10 percent enrollment. On the other hand, Costilla County's CHP+ enrollment is lowest in the state at 1 percent – followed by Douglas with 2 percent of children enrolled. (See Figure 2).

The vast majority of CHP+ enrollees in Colorado, 99 percent, are children. Rural areas tend to have a greater share of their children covered by CHP+, with seven counties in the northeastern plains and Western Slope seeing the highest percentages of children enrolled (See Map 1).<sup>11</sup>

### Financial Responsibility for Families

CHP+ differs from Medicaid in a crucial detail: It charges enrollees premiums and out-of-pocket costs for services, depending on family income. Annual premiums range up to \$105. Cost-sharing for medical services such as office visits or prescription drugs range up to \$50. Costs for CHP+ are capped at no more than 5 percent of a family's income.<sup>12</sup>

### Future of CHP+

Congress has between September 2017 and February 2018 — when many states are anticipated to deplete their CHIP reserves — to decide on the future of the program. State officials who will need to come up with plans on the future of CHIP face that same timetable.

### **Modified Adjusted Gross Income**

CHI describes eligibility for CHP+ as household income between 147 to 265 percent FPL. This differs from how eligibility is described in other places. Why? Because of MAGI, a provision of the ACA intended to create greater consistency across states in determining eligibility for different programs. More information is available in CHI's MAGI issue brief, available at http://bit.ly/2w1WGfl.<sup>10</sup>

CHI has identified three significant policy implications that state policymakers should consider moving forward.

#### 1. Coverage Challenge

What are the state's options for keeping current CHP+ enrollees covered if funding goes away? Some ideas include:

- Transitioning them into Medicaid;
- Enrolling them in private coverage through the Colorado-based online health insurance marketplace, Connect for Health Colorado;
- Providing a buy-in option to Medicaid for some children and pregnant women.<sup>13</sup>

#### 2. Operational Ordeals

Any of the options mentioned above will require significant operational and administrative changes to existing systems that determine eligibility, enrollment and billing.

#### 3. Cost Conundrum

What would these options cost the state? The CHP+ program accounts for about 1.4 percent of HCPF's total \$9 billion budget.<sup>14, 15</sup> Making decisions as funding for the future unfolds could increase administrative or medical costs to the state.

Congress's decisions in September will impact Colorado on multiple levels: Costs resulting from changes in funding, continuity of care for current CHP+ enrollees, and administrative or operational impacts will influence Colorado's "next steps." Transitioning kids and pregnant women to any other form of insurance, including Medicaid or Colorado's marketplace, could change their care provider, how much families spend on care, or if they continue to have coverage.

CHI will continue to follow this as decisions are made. This is the first brief in a series of analyses that will expand on CHIP in Colorado.

#### **Endnotes**

- <sup>1</sup> Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2017). "CHP+ Caseload by County." August 2017. http://bit.ly/2isyili
- <sup>2</sup> Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission. (2017). "Federal CHIP Funding: When Will States Exhaust Allotments?" Budget figures from HCPF "FY17-18 Budget Request." http://bit. ly/2odS8fs
- <sup>3</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation. (2015). "Medicaid Timeline." http:// www.kff.org/medicaid/timeline/medicaid-timeline/ and Medicaid. (2016). "Medicaid and CHIP Coverage of Lawfully Residing Children and Pregnant Women." http://bit.ly/2vjvMMY
- <sup>4</sup> National Academy for State Health Policy. (2016). "All 50 States and D.C. Fact Sheets." http://bit.ly/2w1AlhB
- <sup>5</sup> Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2010). "Exhibit c. 6 – Children's Caseload History and Projections." http://bit.ly/2xfHsSl
- <sup>6</sup> Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2016). "Exhibit C4 – Children's Basic Health Plan, Caseload." http://bit.ly/2xfHsSl
- <sup>7</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation. (2003). "Enrollment Freezes in Six

State Children's Health Insurance Programs Without Coverage from Eligible Children." http://kaiserf.am/2xfJUbn

- <sup>8</sup> Colorado State Legislature. (2011). "Senate Bill 11-008." http:// bit.ly/2w]jh0B
- <sup>9</sup> Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2017). "Child health Plan Plus: Monthly Maximum Income Guidelines." http://bit.ly/2w85pKU
- <sup>10</sup> Colorado Health Institute. (2014). "What is What Is A "MAGI"? A Guide for Understanding New Income Eligibility Rules in Colorado." http://bit.ly/2w1WGf1
- <sup>11</sup>CHI calculated the percentages displayed in Figure 3. Denominator: Colorado Department of Local Affairs. (2016). "Population by Single Year of Age - County." Numerator: Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (2017). "CHP+ Enrollment by County, CY2016." Provided by special request to the Colorado Health Institute.
- <sup>12</sup>Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2016). "The Future of CHP+." http://bit.ly/2xfY10m
- <sup>13</sup>Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2016). "The Future of CHP+." http://bit.ly/2xfY10m
- <sup>14</sup> Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2016). "FY2017-18 Budget Request – Health Care Policy and Financing." http://bit.ly/2wBnNz0
- <sup>15</sup>Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing. (2016). "Department of Health Care Policy and Financing: Indigent Care Program." http://bit.ly/18KgntE

## Research Analyst Adrian Nava is the author of this report.



The Colorado Health Institute is a trusted source of independent and objective health information, data and analysis for the state's health care leaders. The Colorado Health Institute is funded by the Caring for Colorado Foundation, Rose Community Foundation, The Colorado Trust and the Colorado Health Foundation.

303 E. 17th Ave., Suite 930, Denver, CO 80203 • 303.831.4200 coloradohealthinstitute.org



#### **OUR FUNDERS**





