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Colorado’s rural residents face unique challenges when it comes to health care. Their insurance premiums are among the highest in the state, getting to a doctor may require a long ride, and providers such as dentists and physicians can be hard to come by.

School-based health centers (SBHCs) offer one response to these challenges.

Colorado now has 58 SBHCs. Forty-one of the 58 SBHCs that responded to a 2015-16 survey are urban, concentrated along the Front Range. Colorado’s rural SBHCs, meanwhile, have increased from three to 17 in the decade since the Colorado Health Institute (CHI) and the Colorado Association for School-Based Health Care (CASBHC) conducted the first SBHC survey in the 2006-07 school year.

SBHCs serve more than 36,000 users, up from 20,964 10 years earlier, according to the latest survey. Most users are students, though some SBHCs also serve siblings of students or the entire pediatric population in the county (ages 0-21).¹

### SBHCs by the Numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBHCs by the Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 Years</strong> of data collection from CHI and CASBHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>36,361</strong> users up from <strong>20,964</strong> in 2006-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>29,240</strong> urban users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7,121</strong> rural users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SBHCs, located on school grounds or within a school, are operated by health care organizations that employ a medical provider, such as a nurse practitioner or physician assistant, to provide primary care. Most SBHCs also offer behavioral and oral health care on site.

In rural Colorado, especially, SBHCs fill gaps in health care. For example, oral health services, including cleanings, fluoride varnish application and sealants, are offered at a higher percentage of rural SBHCs than SBHCs in urban areas, where dental services are more prevalent.

There are other differences between rural and urban sites, the 2015-16 survey shows. Among them:

- **State funding** makes up the largest portion (58 percent) of annual revenue for rural SBHCs. In urban SBHCs, patient-related revenue, such as Medicaid reimbursements, is the primary source of funding.

- **Most urban users** are covered by Medicaid. Rural SBHCs see a higher percentage of privately insured and uninsured users than urban SBHCs.

- **While rural locations** offer more dental services, urban SBHCs provide more birth control options.

The new survey results inform answers to these questions:

- **How does funding differ** between urban and rural SBHCs in Colorado?

- **How do users differ** between urban and rural school-based health centers?

- **How do services differ** between urban and rural school-based health centers?

Answers show the important role that rural SBHCs continue to play in filling the provider gap.

### Funding Sources

Colorado’s SBHCs rely on a variety of funding streams. They include:

- **Federal money**: Primarily from Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act, which provides grants and other financial benefits to Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), or from Health Resources and Services Administration grants that go directly to SBHCs for specific improvements.

- **State money**: Grants are available through CDPHE to establish and maintain SBHCs across Colorado. CDPHE will receive $5 million in the 2017-18 fiscal year, the bulk of which goes to SBHCs. State money may also come from other departments, such as the Office of Behavioral Health housed within the Department of Human Services.

- **Local money**: This may include allocations from county commissioners or grants from a school district.

- **Private grants and donations**: Private support from foundations such as the Colorado Health Foundation and Caring for Colorado Foundation.

- **User revenue**: Medicaid reimbursements, cash from self-pay users, etc.

### How did we define rural and urban?

CHI identified urban and rural SBHCs based on the county where they are located. CHI defined rural counties as those that are not part of a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). A MSA has an urban core population of 50,000 or more and may extend beyond county borders.

### About the survey:

CHI and CASBHC finished their 10th year of data collection in fall 2016. The survey covers services offered by SBHCs, staffing, user information and revenue sources. CHI started administering the survey in partnership with the Colorado Association for School-Based Health Care in 2007 for the 2006-07 school year.
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State funding accounts for more than half (58 percent) of total revenue for rural SBHCs compared with nearly 19 percent for urban SBHCs. Nearly half of the income of urban SBHCs (48 percent) comes from users; for rural SBHCs, it’s 23 percent (See Figure 1).

Medicaid contributes more than 80 percent of patient-related revenue in urban SBHCs compared with a little more than 60 percent among rural SBHCs. Rural SBHCs receive almost a quarter of their income from private insurance; for urban SBHCs, it’s 4.8 percent (See Figure 2). Rural users with private coverage may see SBHCs as more convenient and a better value in some circumstances.

Federal funds make up almost 20 percent of urban revenue in the 2015-2016 school year. For the same time period, rural SBHCs did not report receiving any federal funds (See Figure 1). It is important to note that rural SBHCs operated by federally qualified health centers (FQHC) receive at least indirect federal support based on the FQHC designation.
The need for school-based health centers (SBHCs) in the Roaring Fork Valley was clear to Lisa Robbiano in the early 1990s. The Valley is home to a significant number of immigrant families, many of whose children had never had a doctor’s appointment. On top of that, local families may not qualify for Medicaid, either because of immigration status or because they make too much money — but not enough to buy their own insurance.

Robbiano is a nurse practitioner and the founder of the area’s SBHCs. The first health center, at Basalt High School, was operated in a volunteer fashion until 2007 when sufficient funding was established through the Aspen Ski Company and CDPHE. It was followed six months later in 2008 by SBHCs at the Basalt elementary and middle schools. Another SBHC farther north in Carbondale, at Roaring Fork High School, didn’t open until the 2015-16 school year.

Establishing a SBHC relies on the convergence of several factors. “It’s about community readiness,” says Haidith Ramirez, program director for the Roaring Fork SBHCs. In addition to showing the need for a new SBHC, the “school district needs to be on board [and] funding has to be there at the right time.”

For the Roaring Fork SBHCs, grants and state support are key sources of funding. Rural SBHCs have a smaller Medicaid population and patient pool than urban SBHCs, so patient revenue is only a modest part of their budgets.

The Roaring Fork SBHCs work closely with staff at the schools. “People just look at us as part of the school,” says Robbiano. “They’re shocked when they find out ... that the school didn’t hire us.” A close-knit community can help SBHCs run smoothly, and ongoing community support is imperative.

All the SBHCs in the Roaring Fork Valley offer primary, oral and behavioral health care. Until recently, school district policy banned providing birth control at the high school SBHCs. But that changed last year. The school board recognized the legal right of all adolescents to access comprehensive care, including reproductive services, says Robbiano and Ramirez.

Dental care is a particular need in many rural areas. In the Roaring Fork Valley, there are few dentists that are affordable and fewer that accept Medicaid, says Ramirez, and many private health insurance plans don’t cover dental services. So, the SBHC staff tracked down state funding from CDPHE in 2014 to establish needed dental services. Since then, the oral health program has continued to grow at all four SBHCs in the area.

Staff have their eyes set on opening another SBHC in Glenwood Springs, north of Carbondale, but it may be some time before everything aligns to move forward.
SBHC Users

In the 2015-16 school year, more than 36,000 users received care at Colorado’s SBHCs (see Figure 1). This record-setting number reflects the growth of this important model in the past 10 years.

In both rural and urban areas, SBHCs serve a disproportionate percentage of uninsured and Medicaid enrollees.

Statewide, only 2.5 percent of children and youth are uninsured, according to the 2015 Colorado Health Access Survey. But the uninsured rate among rural SBHC users is 30.2 percent — over 12 times higher than the statewide rate for children and youth (See Figure 3). A similar trend is evident in urban SBHCs. The uninsured rate for urban SBHC users is nearly five times higher than the statewide rate — 11.8 percent compared with 2.5 percent.

Nearly 41 percent of SBHC users in rural areas are covered by Medicaid compared with 63.4 percent in urban settings. Both percentages are higher than the statewide rate for young Medicaid beneficiaries (30.3 percent), reinforcing the fact that SBHCs’ mission is to serve Colorado’s most vulnerable children and youth.

SBHC Services

School-based health centers offer comprehensive care, including to users who otherwise might not have ready access to medical and behavioral services. Many services are available on-site and some by referral. These include sports physicals, screenings (vision, hearing, scoliosis); behavioral risk assessments; prescriptions for medications; and mental health assessments.

FIGURE 3: Insurance Coverage among SBHC Users Compared with All of Colorado’s Kids Ages 0 to 18, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALL COLORADO KIDS</th>
<th>TOTAL SBHC USERS</th>
<th>URBAN SBHC USERS</th>
<th>RURAL SBHC USERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP+</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMPUS</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Insurance</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The insurance data for all Colorado kids does not add to 100 percent because Medicare data is not included.
However, oral health services aren’t as widely available:

- **On-site dental cleanings** are offered at 88.2 percent of rural SBHCs; the remaining rural SBHCs refer users to the nearest dentist’s office. Only 31.7 percent of urban sites offer on-site cleanings, and 36.6 percent refer users.

- **All rural SBHCs** offer the application of fluoride varnish on-site compared with just over half (56.1 percent) of urban SBHCs.

- **Dental sealants** are offered at 82.4 percent of rural SBHCs compared with 24.4 percent of urban SBHCs.

Basic mental health services, like assessments and treatment, are available at all SBHCs across Colorado, either by referral or on-site. But there is a substantial difference between rural and urban sites in substance use treatment. This service is offered on-site at almost half (47.1 percent) of rural SBHCs, and the other sites make referrals. Twenty-two percent of urban SBHCs offer this service on-site, and half refer users to another provider.

Urban SBHC users, on the other hand, have greater access to contraceptives than rural SBHCs adolescents (See Figure 4).

- **The biggest difference** is the availability of the birth control patch. It is offered at half of urban SBHCs (51.2 percent) and about a fifth (18.8 percent) of rural sites.

- **Nexplanon, a birth control implant** that lasts up to four years, is the most widely offered long-acting reversible contraceptive. But, again, there is a difference between urban and rural areas. Just over half of urban SBHCs (53.7 percent) offer this method compared with nearly a third of rural sites (31.3 percent).

- **A higher percentage of urban sites** offer on-site HIV testing — 85.4 percent compared with 52.9 percent of rural SBHCs. However, the rest of the SBHCs in both settings offer this service by referral.

The availability of contraceptives at SBHCs depends on a variety of factors — school district policy, funding, provider training and skills. Access to contraceptive methods for adolescents served through SBHCs is on the rise. More clinics reported offering more methods in the 2015-16 school year than when the question first appeared on the survey the previous year.

**FIGURE 4: Access to Types of Contraceptives Offered On-site at the 41 Urban and 16 Rural SBHCs, 2015-16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nexplanon</th>
<th>DepoProvera</th>
<th>Birth Control Pills*</th>
<th>Abstinence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urban SBHCs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rural SBHCs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Urban SBHCs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rural SBHCs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/41 (53.7%)</td>
<td>5/16 (31.3%)</td>
<td>35/41 (85.4%)</td>
<td>9/16 (56.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/41 (51.2%)</td>
<td>3/16 (18.8%)</td>
<td>2/41 (4.9%)</td>
<td>3/16 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prescriptions for birth control pills were offered by 37 of 41 Urban and 14 of 16 Rural SBHCs in the 2015-16 school year
Conclusion

SBHCs continue to serve Colorado’s most vulnerable users. Since the passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and Medicaid expansion in Colorado, these SBHCs have seen fewer uninsured users and more Medicaid and privately insured users. Rural SBHCs, especially communities where doctors’ offices can be hard to come by.

But the future of federal health policy is unknown. Efforts by the Trump administration and Congress to repeal and replace the ACA, trim Medicaid, and give states more flexibility in health care policy could impact school-based health centers. Child Health Plan Plus (CHP+), which covers almost five percent of SBHC users across Colorado, is funded through September 2017. But it is unclear whether the federal government will continue its support past then.

Despite this uncertainty, SBHCs will remain an important provider of health care to students and others throughout the cities, plains and mountains of Colorado.
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Endnotes

1 In 2015-16, SBHCs reported that 1.9 percent of users were ages 20 and over.
2 This definition is from the Office of Management and Budget, using information obtained from the Colorado Rural Health Center. Some SBHCs are located in counties that may have a different urban or rural designation depending on what definition is used – for example, the SBHCs located in Teller and Garfield counties.
4 School-Based Health Alliance, http://www.sbh4all.org/school-health-care/aboutsbhcs/school-based-health-care-state-policy-survey/#four